1	Francis O. Scarpulla (41059) Craig C. Corbitt (83251) Matthew R. Schultz (220641)		
2			
	Judith A. Zahid (215418)		
3	Traviss L. Galloway (234678) ZELLE, HOFMANN, VOELBEL, MASON		
4	& GETTE LLP 44 Montgomery Street - Suite 3400		
5	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 693-0700		
6	Facsimile: (415) 693-0770 fscarpulla@zelle.com		
7	ccorbitt@zelle.com		
8		Christopher Lovell Craig M. Essenmacher	
9	Thomas A. Doyle	Keith Essenmacher Imtiaz A. Siddiqui LOVELL STEWART HALEBIAN LLP 500 Fifth Avenue, Floor 58	
10	SAUNDERS & DOYLE		
11	Chicago, IL 60603	New York, NY 10110	
12		Telephone: (212) 608-1900 Facsimile: (212) 719-4775	
13	trsaunders@saundersdoyle.com clovell@lshllp.com cessenmacher@lshllp.com		
		kessenmacher@lshllp.com	
14		isiddiqui@lshllp.com	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
17			
18			
19			
20	IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)) Case No. CV-07-5944-SC	
	ANTITRUST LITIGATION)	
21	This document relates to:) MDL No. 1917 -)	
22		DECLARATION OF JUDITH A. ZAHIDIN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS WILLIAM	
23	Stack, et al., v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al., No. C-08-1319-WBD) E. STACK'S AND MARGO STACK'S	
24) ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO) CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD	
25) BE RELATED	
) [Civil Local Rule 3-12]	
26) Honorable Samuel Conti	
27		_)	
28			

DECLARATION OF JUDITH A. ZAHID IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS WILLIAM E. STACK'S AND MARGO STACK'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

#3172625

26

27

28

I, Judith A. Zahid, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed by the State of California and am admitted to practice before this Court. I am an associate of the law firm of Zelle, Hofmann, Voelbel, Mason & Gette, LLP, and my firm serves as attorneys of record for plaintiffs William E. Stack and Margo Stack. I submit this Declaration in support of Plaintiffs William E. Stack's and Margo Stack's Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein and can and will testify thereto if called upon to do so.
- 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the complaint entitled: Stack, et al. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al., No. C-08-1319-WBD ("Stack"), filed on March 7, 2008 in the Northern District of California, and assigned to the Honorable Wayne D. Brazil.
- 3. Plaintiffs in Stack and In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation, MDL No. 1917 (Case No. CV-07-5944 SC), both propose a class action on behalf if indirect purchasers of cathode ray tubes ("CRTs") and products containing CRTs ("CRT Products"). These actions both allege a conspiracy to fix, raise, maintain, and stabilize the prices at which CRTs and CRT Products were sold in the United States. Plaintiffs in each of these cases assert claims for violation of the federal antitrust laws of the United States (15 U.S.C. §1) against many of the same defendants.
- 4. Civil Local Rule 3-12 requires that an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related be promptly filed. A stipulation pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11(a) could not be obtained because several of the defendants in this action have yet to appear.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on March 12, 2008 at San Francisco, California.

/s/Judith A. Zahid	
Judith A. Zahid	